Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/874,106	SIMPSON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kristie D. Shingles	2141
ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (TE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (\$(a) In no event, however, may a reply be to ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDOM date of this communication, even if timely file	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
lv 2007.	
ce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
pplication.	
election requirement.	
	Examiner.
on is required if the drawing(s) is o	
aminer. Note the attached Offic	Action or form PTO-152.
priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
, , , , ,	, , , , ,
have been received.	
have been received in Applica	tion No
ty documents have been receiv	red in this National Stage
1 11	ad
or the certified copies flot receiv	eu.
4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date
	09/874,106 Examiner Kristie D. Shingles Bars on the cover sheet with the IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH To THIS COMMUNICATIO (So.) In no event. however, may a reply be till apply and will expire Six (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABrotion become ABrotion date of this communication, even if timely file IN 2007. action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pr x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 pplication. In from consideration. election requirement. pted or b

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

No claims have been amended.

Claims 1-16 and 18-36 are pending.

Response to Arguments

 In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 7/3/2007, <u>PROSECUTION IS HEREBY</u> REOPENED.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is a non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendment, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

II. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 and 18-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 103

III. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/874,106

Art Unit: 2141

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

IV. <u>Claims 1-7, 10-16, 18-25, 27, 32, 35 and 36</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Anderson* (US 6,499,016) in view of *Tate* (US 7,069,237).

- a. Per claim 1, Anderson teaches a system for searching imaging data comprising digital data capable of being represented as two dimensional graphics stored in a personal imaging repository by a requested web service operatively connected to a computing device requesting the service, comprising:
 - a computing device for requesting service with the requested web service (Abstract, col.2 lines 53-67);
 - a personal imaging repository associated with a particular user profile for storing imaging data that is to be accessed by the requested web service (col.2 lines 56-67, col.3 lines 10-67, col.5 lines 20-30, col.5 line 64-col.6 line 8, col.6 lines 32-42), wherein said personal imaging repository is an exchange infrastructure between the imaging data and available web services (col.2 lines 36-67, col.5 lines 10-19, col.6 lines 32-42);
 - user information for allowing access to said personal imaging repository (col.5 lines 20-30); and,
 - a requested web service for servicing the imaging data stored in said personal imaging repository responsive to a request from a user and upon having access to said personal imaging repository granted upon receiving said user profile (col.5 line 64-col.6 line 8),
 - wherein said imaging data is maintained in said personal imaging repository once said imaging data is service for at first time (col.6 lines 9-51).

Anderson fails to explicitly teach wherein said requested web service has access to add data to said imaging data stored in said personal imaging repository and said imaging data being made available to being freely used by other web services. However, Tate teaches storing a user's images at a retailer or server and adding hotlink pointers to the imaging data in order for

user to access the imaging data stored at the server (col.5 lines 24-49), wherein the imaging data may also be available to other parties (col.3 line 55-col.4 line 19, col.6 lines 5-14, col.7 lines 7-17, col.7 line 38-col.8 line 55).

- It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of *Anderson* with *Tate* for the purpose of provisioning customization and referencing of the images from the requested web service and allowing other web services to use the uploaded images, in order to provide image enhancements from customization tools that may be unavailable to the submitting user—which serves to effectively promote and upgrade the images' quality for advanced utilization and frees users from performing time-consuming enhancements to their images.
- Claims 19 and 36 contain limitations that are substantially equivalent to claim 1, differing only in statutory class, and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- c. **Per claim 2,** Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 1, Anderson further teaches wherein said requested web service sends a web content responsive to a service request from said computing device (Abstract, col.2 lines 53-67, col.6 lines 19-42; Tate—col.7 line 7-col.8 line 55).
- d. Per claim 3, Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 2 wherein said web content causes said user information to be sent to said web service (col.5 lines 20-30, col.6 lines 19-42; Tate—col.7 line 7-col.8 line 55).
- e. Per claim 4, Anderson teaches the system as defined in claim 3 wherein said web service accesses said personal imaging repository using said user information (col.5 lines 20-35, col.6 lines 2-8).

Application/Control Number: 09/874,106 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

f. Per claim 5, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 1, Anderson further teaches wherein said web service is provided through a web server (Figure 1, col.2 lines 61-67, col.5 lines 10-19, col.6 lines 19-31; Tate—col.7 line 7-col.8 line 55).

- g. Per claim 6, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 1, Anderson further teaches wherein said computing device further includes a web browser for displaying and executing web content from the available web services (col.6 lines 19-31; Tate—col.6 lines 38-67).
- h. Per claim 7, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 1, Anderson further teaches wherein said personal imaging repository provides the imaging data in a plurality of file formats (col.3 lines 30-49).
- i. Per claim 10, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 1, Anderson further teaches wherein said personal imaging repository comprises an imaging data store for storing imaging data (col.2 lines 2-15 and 53-67, col.3 lines 15-30).
- j. Per claim 11, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 1, Anderson further teaches wherein said personal imaging repository comprises a plurality of imaging data stores for storing imaging data (col.5 lines 10-30 and col.5 line 64-31).
- k. Per claim 12, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 11, Anderson further teaches wherein one of said plurality of imaging data stores is assigned to the user associated with said personal imaging repository for user usage (col.5 lines 10-30 and col.5 line 64-col.6 line 31).

Application/Control Number: 09/874,106 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

Per claim 13, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 11, Tate
teaches wherein one of said plurality of imaging data stores is assigned to a web service for
storing imaging data available to the public (col. 7 line 7-col. 8 line 55).

- m. Per claim 14, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 1, Anderson further teaches wherein said personal imaging repository comprises a composition store for storing imaging compositions of imaging data serviced as a single unit (col.3 line 50col.4 line 47).
- n. **Per claim 15,** Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 14, Anderson further teaches wherein an imaging composition comprises a link to each imaging data (col.5 lines 20-30, col.6 lines 5-8; Tate—col.5 lines 24-52).
- o. Per claim 16, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 1, Anderson further teaches wherein said user information is identification and security information used for accessing said personal imaging repository (col.5 lines 20-21, col.6 lines 38-39).
- p. Per claim 18, Anderson with Tate teach the system as defined in claim 1, Anderson further teaches wherein said user information is stored on the computing device (col.5 lines 10-21).
- q. **Per claim 20,** Anderson teaches the method according to claim 19 wherein said step of requesting service further comprising the steps of: requesting web content from the requested web service by the browser of the computing device (col.6 lines 21-34); receiving the request for web content from the browser by the requested web service (col.6 lines 28-38); sending web content to the browser by the requested web service responsive to the request for web content (col.6 lines 32-41); receiving the web content from the web service by the browser

Application/Control Number: 09/874,106 Page 7

Art Unit: 2141

(col.6 lines 29-30); and, displaying and executing the web content by the browser (col.6 lines 24-42).

- r. **Per claim 21,** Anderson teaches the method according to claim 20 wherein said step of displaying and executing the web content further comprising the steps of: sending user information to the requested web service by the browser responsive to the web content (col.6 lines 2-8 and 32-38); and, directing the browser to a requested web service responsive to the web content (col.6 lines 24-31 and 38-42).
- Claim 22 is substantially similar to claim 21 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.
- t. **Per claim 23**, *Anderson* teaches the method according to claim 19 wherein said step of accessing the personal imaging repository further comprising the steps of: connecting with the composition store of the personal imaging repository by the web service (col.6 lines 5-8 and 19-23); obtaining a list of the imaging composition stored in the composition store by the web service (col.6 lines 19-27); constructing a web content including a list of the imaging composition by the web service and control for selecting the available service (col.6 lines 24-29); and, sending the constructed web content to the browser by the web service for user selection (col.6 lines 28-34).
- u. Per claim 24, Anderson teaches the method according to claim 23 further comprising the steps of: receiving the constructed web content from the web service by the browser (col.6 lines 24-29); and, displaying the constructed web content for user selections by the browser (col.6 lines 30-38).

Application/Control Number: 09/874,106

Art Unit: 2141

v. Per claim 25, Anderson teaches the method according to claim 23 further

Page 8

comprising the steps of: requesting a selected composition in a specialized format from the

composition store by the web service responsive to user selection; receiving a request for user

selected composition in a specified format from the web service by the composition store;

obtaining each imaging data indicated by the selected composition from its proper location;

sending the imaging data linked from the user selected composition in the specified format to the

web service by the composition store; and, receiving the imaging data in the specified format

from the composition store by the web service (col.6 lines 21-42).

w. Per claim 27, Anderson teaches the method according to claim 19 wherein said

step of accessing the personal imaging repository further comprising the steps of: connecting

with the imaging data store of the personal imaging repository indicated from the user

information; and, transferring the imaging data to the imaging data store (col.5 lines 20-35, col.5

line 64-col.6 line 8, col.6 lines 19-42).

x. Per claim 32, Anderson teaches the method according to claim 27 further

comprising the steps of: obtaining a link reference of the transferred imaging data stored in the

personal imaging data store; connecting with the composition store of the personal imaging

repository indicated from the user information; creating an imaging composition having a link

reference to the imaging data stored in the personal imaging data store; and, saving the imaging

composition to the composition store (col.6 lines 5-37; Tate—col.5 line 24-col.6 line 14).

y. **Per claim 35,** Anderson teaches method according to claim 32 wherein said step

of creating an imaging composition further comprising the step of adding the link reference of

Art Unit: 2141

the imaging data stored in the imaging data store to the imaging composition (col.5 lines 20-30, col.6 lines 5-18: Tate—col.5 line 24-col.6 line 14).

- V. <u>Claims 8, 9, 26, 29-31 and 34</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Anderson</u> (US 6,499,016) with <u>Tate</u> (US 7,069,237) in further view of <u>Wood et al</u> (US 6,732,162).
- z. **Per claim 8**, Anderson teaches the system of claim 7 as applied above, yet fail to explicitly teach he system, wherein said personal imaging repository further comprising a converter for converting the imaging data to any of said plurality of file formats. However Wood et al teach a converter for converting the image data into different formats (col.4 lines 65-67, col.9 lines 5-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Anderson and Tate with Wood et al for the purpose of provisioning the conversion of images into different formats for useable by different imaging applications and for reducing/compressing the image size.
- aa. Per claim 9, Anderson and Tate with Wood et al teach the system as defined in claim 7, wherein said plurality of file formats of said personal imaging repository is any one from the group consisting of: Joint Photographic Experts Group Format; Graphics Interchange Format; Portable Network Graphics Format; Tagged Image File Format; Portable Document Format; and, Microsoft Windows bitmap format (col.4 lines 28-29, col.9 lines 37-39, col.24 lines 50-67).
- bb. Per claim 26, Anderson and Tate with Wood et al teach the method as defined in claim 25, Wood et al further teach wherein said step of sending the imaging data further comprising the steps of: determining whether the imaging data needs to be converted into the

Application/Control Number: 09/874,106

Art Unit: 2141

specified format; and, converting the imaging data in the specified format when the imaging needs to be converted into the specified format (col.4 lines 22-31, col.6 lines 10-14, col.8 lines 31-47, col.24 lines 40-67).

- cc. **Per claim 29**, Anderson and Tate with Wood et al teach the method according to claim 27, Wood et al further teach the method comprising the steps of: connecting with the imaging data store further comprising the steps of: determining whether the connection with the imaging data store is successful; and, returning an error message to the user when the connection is not successful (col.6 lines 25-43).
- dd. Claim 30 is substantially equivalent to claims 8 and 26 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.
- ee. Claim 31 is substantially equivalent to claim 9 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.
- ff. Claim 34 is substantially similar to claim 29 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.
- VI. <u>Claims 28 and 33</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Anderson* (US 6,499,016) with *Tate* (US 7,069,237) in further view of *Morris et al* (US 6,353,848).
- a. Per claim 28, Anderson with Tate teach the method according to claim 27 as applied above, yet fail to explicitly teach the method further comprising the steps of: obtaining a link reference of the transferred imaging data stored in the personal imaging data store; and, disconnecting from the imaging data store by the requested web service. However, Morris et al.

teach obtaining a link reference of the stored imaging data and disconnecting by the web service (col.13 lines 30-52 and col.16 lines 39-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of *Anderson* and *Tate* with *Morris et al* for the purpose of establishing a link reference, wherein the data can be accessed via the link without the connection of the imaging data store and web service because this allows for efficient and quicker accessibility to the data.

 Claim 33 is substantially similar to claim 28 and is therefore rejected under the same basis

Conclusion

VII. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Keller et al (6876759), Haneda et al (6856414).

VIII. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristie D. Shingles whose telephone number is 571-272-3888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday 8:00am-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2141

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kristie D. Shingles Examiner Art Unit 2141

kds